The Billy Meier Case: True or a Hoax? Assessing the Facts and Asking the Right and Logical Questions – Finally!

by Christian Frehner¹, Switzerland

An Important Preliminary Explanation

The purpose of this text is to present a fair, neutral and unbiased treatment of, and approach to, the Billy Meier case. The method to achieve a result that is relatively congruent with reality is, by asking all those relevant questions that any sincere scientist or researcher would ask. Getting at the core of reality and assessing facts, requires an open mind that does not shy away from staying on track, even when the findings contradict current views, beliefs and hypotheses etc. Unfortunately, the world still greatly lacks people like Archimedes, Galileo Galilei or Einstein, among many others, who were capable of breaking through contemporary prejudices and beliefs, and brought forth new knowledge that led to a "chain reaction" of new findings etc. Rational thinking is an endless quest to detect and reveal reality, because truth may be found only in facts, i.e. reality. The truth stands in absolute opposition to any form of belief, because any belief – without exception – is per se, unprovable. Based on this, the author stresses the fact that he neither intends nor wishes to convince anybody as regards judging or believing the Billy Meier case as being true. Quoting Billy Meier here may add some elucidation:

"Contrary to conviction or persuasion stands certainty, which originates alone from a given fact and, therefore, from realness, reality and its truth, which may be proven throughout and has nothing to do with a conviction, but is integrated into an effective knowledge as well as into that which is given by, and which is factual through, reality and its truth. Certainty is a firm, steadfast knowledge that can be proven through the verification of an issue, or through experience and the living of it, and therefore through reality and its truth."

The fact that the author closely collaborates with Billy Meier makes no difference whatsoever concerning the relevance of the following discourse, at least so long as he applies neutrality, logic and an absence of prejudice. In fact, the familiarity between the author and Billy Meier will turn out to be a great advantage, based on the opportunities of first-hand and long-term observations, impressions, insight and understanding etc.

Introduction

When "Billy" E. A. Meier/BEAM (born Eduard Albert Meier on February 3, 1937 in Bülach/Switzerland) took his first photos of Semjase's² "UFO" (beamship), on January 28, 1975, in the Frecht nature preserve area near Hinwil, Switzerland, it was the official start of the "public" part of Billy Meier's and also FIGU's³ "mission". As the first reports appeared in print media⁴ in Switzerland, Germany and elsewhere, and also on TV in



¹ <u>About the author:</u> Christian Frehner, born 1952 in Switzerland, has been a FIGU core group member since 1987 and, therefore, a close witness and observer of Billy Meier's teaching, overall behaviour, lifestyle and living conditions, etc. As a member of FIGU's correcting team, it's his task to proofread all of Billy Meier's writings before publication. In his professional life, Frehner learned three different professions and earned the corresponding diplomas. After working for several years as a ward head in a psychiatric hospital, he was a director of homes for mentally and physically handicapped adults for over three decades. He has been retired since 2017.

² An extraterrestrial woman, one of over 60 persons to date with whom Billy Meier had, or still has, contact with (see **Part 5** in the Appendix).

³ FIGU = Free Community of Interests Universal, an non-profit organization based in Switzerland (<u>www.figu.org</u>)

⁴ https://shop.figu.org/b%C3%BCcher/ausschnitte-aus-zeitungen-und-journalen-%C3%BCber-billy-eduard-albert-meier-beam-und-seine-kontakte-mit-den-plejaren?language=de

1976/1977, one of the two objectives of BEAM's "mission" began to unfold with a lot of resonance: Triggering a worldwide "UFO controversy".





However, BEAM's second and much more important objective was, and still is, to teach and spread the "Geisteslehre", the ancient, timelessly valid and entirely non-religious, reality-based "Spiritual Teaching". More about that later in this essay.

The "UFO controversy", however, has turned out to be quite successful, resulting in separating the wheat from the chaff, so to speak. On the one hand, there were those who examined the Billy Meier case with a questioning, but interested, open-minded and unbiased attitude, while, on the other hand, there are the "UFO experts" and "sceptics", who, for over four decades and up to today, condemn the case to be a clever or vile hoax, based on their belief that the information cannot be true because the "evidence" is either too good, or because the existence of extraterrestrial life is against their religion, or whatever the reasons are. In this text, it will be proven that the antagonists' aversion and belief is based on sort of a short circuit of superficial, false, non-realistic and often defamatory claims, hypotheses and speculative assumptions etc., which they share among themselves in books and through the internet. While scientific research requires an open-minded approach towards the field one wants to examine, the "Billy Meier antagonists" just pretend to be scientific, but in reality are blatantly lacking independent thinking, because they are endlessly exchanging and ruminating the already long-known false and irrational arguments within their circles. And they are supported by the many copycats who are also not aware of being marionettes in a tragicomedy they write themselves. And the real tragedy actually is, that all those persons have not the slightest notion what they are missing by holding on to their stubborn negation.

While this introductory judgement may appear too harsh, presumptuous or even false to some readers, it will turn out to be justified, as anyone with access to rational thinking may conclude, provided the rest of this essay or exposé is read with an unprejudiced attitude. All of this had to be said as an introduction in order to clear, or prepare the field for a new, fresh beginning, in order to at last, now ask all those logical questions, which all of those many narrow-minded "sceptics" etc. should have already asked a long time ago.

Since the background of the Billy Meier case is so profound and far-reaching and extensive, this examination and reasoning must be restricted by focussing on a few well-known events, aspects or "proven hoaxes".

Fact-Checking Nr. 1

Between 1975 and early 1982, BEAM was able to shoot over 1000 photos of "UFOs", or "beamships" as these flying devices are called by him and the extraterrestrial pilots. As can be gleaned when looking through the pictures in **Part 4** of the appendix, besides taking photos of flying devices, BEAM was able to document and bring forward another great body of photo-based evidence.

Unfortunately, more than half of BEAM's "UFO photos" have been lost over time (mostly stolen, etc.), and only about 450 photos of flying objects are still in his possession, some of which he even had to repurchase as copies. (Interested persons may view the remaining photos in high quality in

the *Photo-Inventarium*⁵, a 237-pages, full colour coffee table book.) Most of BEAM's daylight "UFO photos" are, with regard to quality and quantity, in a unique category when compared to any other "UFO" case in history. Additionally, BEAM could also capture 8mm footage of beamships of various types flying over different rural locations in Switzerland, a combination which makes the Billy Meier case even more spectacular and outstanding.

In the late 1970s and early 1980s, some of these photos and the 8mm footage were examined in the USA by qualified experts in their fields of science and research, the results of which may be read about in (the late) Wendelle C. Stevens' *Preliminary Investigation Report*⁶, in the two photo books published by "Genesis III" (out of stock), and also in Gary Kinder's book *Light Years*⁸. While the scientists and experts involved did not literally and expressly confirm an "extraterrestrial" origin of the objects in the photos or films, they were puzzled at their high quality and found it impossible for a man with only one arm and without substantial financial means to fake such convincing pictures and films.

Here are a few comments by the researchers (quoted from *Light Years*):

"In the photographs there were no sharp breaks where you could see it had been somehow artificially dubbed. And if that dubbing was [were] registered in the film, the computer would have seen it. We didn't see anything." **Eric Eliason,** research computer scientist, United States Geological Survey, Flagstaff, Arizona; developed software for processing space photography beamed back by both Viking and Voyager.

"From a photography standpoint, you couldn't see anything that was fake about the Meier photos. That's what struck me. They looked like legitimate photographs. I thought, God, if this is real, this is going to be really something." **Robert Post,** head of photo lab at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

"This Meier really had to have a fleet of clever assistants, at least fifteen people, who would know what the interface reflections of a shiny object were at certain times of the day, how to support these objects so that wires are not seen, how to rig it, how to watch it and stand by with their little airguns to spray the strings when they begin showing up. ... It is difficult to do on 35mm, even worse with the 8mm film he was using. And the equipment was totally out of his means. If somebody wanted me to cheat something along these lines, \$30,000 would probably do it, but this is in a studio where the equipment exists. The equipment would cost another \$50,000." Wally Gentleman, Director of special effects on the National Film Board of Canada, and director of special effects for Stanley Kubrick.

Now let us ask a few of those logical questions, any reasonable and open-minded researcher should and would ask very early on during the investigation process:

- 1) Why would BEAM "fake" so many "UFO" photos? What could be his **motive**? And why "fake" hundreds of photos, instead of "fabricating" just a few of his "too-good-to-be-true" photos? And why not shoot just one photo in each of the various locations, in order to exclude and avoid comparisons of clouds and perspectives? After all, "faking" only 10 of his high-quality photos, instead of over one thousand, would have saved him an enormous amount of time, concentration and stress (in his meticulousness to avoid anyone becoming aware of his cunning and secret activities), but nonetheless still being able to raise quite a stir and sensation in the UFO field, especially when compared to all those thousands of blurry and undistinguishable UFO photos from around the world!
- 2) Based on the aforementioned, another question must be asked: Why should, and how could a man with such a "genius-like" expertise and ability to "fake" not only analogue (slides) photos, but also 8mm (!) films in secrecy and with just one arm keep his ability a secret for such a long time, even from his family and the group members who were living in the same building?

⁵ https://shop.figu.org/b%C3%BCcher/photo-inventarium?language=de


 $^{^{6}\ \}underline{\text{http://www.theyfly.com/shop1/digital/product/29/ufo-contact-from-the-pleiades-preliminary-investigation-report}$

⁷ UFO...Contact from the Pleiades, Volume I and II (Phoenix, 1979 and 1983)

⁸ https://www.amazon.com/Light-Years-Investigation-Extraterrestrial-Experiences/dp/0871131390

- 3) How could a one-armed man have "fabricated" all those hundreds of high-quality "faked" photos and also the films, since he did not have the **means** to do this kind of high-end fabrication, due to a lack of a suitable work-place, a darkroom, finances and/or highly-sophisticated equipment costing hundreds of thousands of dollars in those "pre-personal-computer" days? Probably any other similarly "gifted genius expert" would have tried to make a living based on such expertise and would have his own special effects company by now, or at least would be a renowned expert in the film industry.
- 4) Starting in 1975, BEAM stopped working in a job, lived with his family on his small disability payments and on some savings, and all of his efforts since then were and are devoted to teaching, writing books and also articles for the periodical "Stimme der Wassermannzeit", providing psychological counsel, enabling the formation of the core group, having contacts, writing down the contact reports, and since the spring of 1977, urging the group members to build up and improve the Semjase-Silver-Star-Center (SSSC) from a severely-neglected and deteriorating property (farm). Where, and when, should he have found the **opportunity** or spare time to fabricate models and to fake photos of such quality? It seems like the only time he was alone, was while driving his moped to the contact locations, because at all other times he was more or less under surveillance, either by his jealous (ex-)wife, or by group members and frequent visitors who went in and out of his living quarters.
- 5) After George Adamski had published his concocted story about "visitors from Venus", supporting it with a few (faked) bad-quality photos, he constantly toured around the world, spoke to great crowds who paid to see and hear him, resulting in a not too small fortune. Why didn't BEAM travel around the world and "sell" his extraordinary and sensational story to a paying public (as so many esoteric and channeling weirdos do, who organise expensive seminars and workshops and exploit their blind faithful ones)? He could easily have made a fortune by now. Instead he stayed home and sold his photos at cost.
- 6) To repeat: What could have been BEAM's motive to avoid easily-earned money by selling his story around the world to the public through lectures and presentations, and his life-story to film studios? Why is he unwaveringly continuing with his "mission" of spreading the Spiritual Teaching, despite and obviously unimpressed by the 23 assassination attempts on his life? And why should he prefer his modest dwelling which means living in an old house (which is owned by FIGU) since 1977, and sharing the kitchen and washroom with the group members to living in a villa provided by devoted followers or paid for by the hundreds of thousands of dollars he could have earned until now? And what can be concluded from the fact that he detests any hint of idolisation and slavish devotion, among and by human beings and especially towards himself, all of which he attempts to minimize by not personally answering the visitors' questions and hardly ever granting an interview, etc.?!
- 7) And that which concerns faking 8mm film⁹: How could a onearmed man fake such film material, based on the fact that each small film picture measures only 5,79 mm × 4,01 mm (which is really small; look it up on a scale rule!), and considering the fact that the films came in sealed cassettes and, when full, had to be sent to a laboratory for processing? And before someone mentions retouching or double exposures, it is suggested to first ponder the practicality of such! View the footage (see link¹⁰ at the bottom of the page) taken at Hasenböl (starting at about 33:02 min into the film). How would you bring the moving beamship onto the tiny film pictures (remember: 5,79 x 4,01 mm!) on a developed film, thereby producing and ensuring a smooth flight path as in BEAM's footage?! By using a micro paintbrush, or a needle?






⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Super_8_film

¹⁰ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EAHfOmvz6 s

And how would you "paint" the beamship's variations in size onto the tiny pictures, and all of this with just one hand?!

Presuming BEAM had really "faked" all his "UFO" photos, then why did some group members have the opportunity to also photograph flying objects when they accompanied BEAM close to the vicinity where he had another contact? And what about that person from the Schmidrüti vicinity, who was a sceptic and not at all affiliated with BEAM or FIGU, who had the chance to observe, and even photographed, on two occasions, Ptaah's beamship flying above the Semjase-Silver-Star-Center (see this excerpt from "Plejadisch-plejarische Kontaktberichte, Block 8")? Does it make sense to assume that all of them were victims of BEAM's presumed hypnotising abilities, with which he made them believe that they had seen all kinds of phenomena, even when there were none? And how should he have been able to also fake the photos they had taken with their own cameras, something which would have had to occur during the time their



films had been sent to a laboratory and before taking the photo prints out of their post box?!

- Could it be that BEAM is still secretly laughing and making fun (without anyone noticing it) about the blindness of "his dumb Core group members", who were tricked so easily and cunningly and still believe to this day that all of his beamship photos were real, and probably based on belief-induced hallucinations and a mass-delusion phenomenon?
- Are the FIGU Core group members really zombie-like prisoners of a cult? Is there a New age organisation or contactee cult anywhere which would publish all those details (and personal names!) about the quarrelling, obstruction, struggling, failures and admonishing etc. during the group-building process and improvement of the SSSC, and also about the learning process of the involved extraterrestrials, as can be read in detail in the Contact Reports?!

Fact-Checking Nr. 2


On May 31, 1982, BEAM shot his last "UFO photo" on analogue film material, when he had the fourth and last chance to photograph a so-called half-material "Energieschiff" (energy ship). The last photos of the so-called "Tortenschiffe" (Wedding Cake UFOs) he took on August 5, 1981. To stop making further photos of the beamships etc. had been a deliberate and planned decision by the Plejaren¹¹, as they were aware of the imminent rise of "the age of the personal computers" on the technical horizon. People born after 1980 would probably not be familiar with the fact that electronic manipulation of photographs was hardly possible in the 1980s for private persons. Electronic equipment was affordable for governmental agencies or big companies only. Photoshop¹² was developed five years after BEAM had taken his last "UFO photo", namely in 1987 by Thomas and John Knoll, and the distribution licence was sold to Adobe Systems Inc. in 1988. However, since the early 1990s, digitally manipulating photos has become a wide-spread occurrence in fashion, advertising, and also in faking all kinds of objects, as e.g. UFOs. Nowadays photographic or video evidence can no longer be trusted,

¹¹ The majority of the human beings BEAM has contact with are living on planets in a star cluster they call Plejaren, in another time-and-space dimension ("ANKAR" universe) beyond the Pleiades which we see on our night sky. Therefore they speak of themselves as "Plejaren" (plural).

¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adobe Photoshop

because technical progress has soared to heights unimaginable forty years ago (as a search for the term "deepfake" in the internet proves).

It was in July 1985 that the author became aware for the first time about the beginning of a new area of photo manipulation, based on the use of computers (which were first used by FIGU in the 1990s).



Viewing the cover of the July 1985 edition of the Whole Earth Review¹³ was fascinating and impressive, but also somewhat funny because the author was already a FIGU member by then and therefore quite used to seeing pictures of real extraterrestrial flying devices. Especially interesting was reading about the great deal of expertise and technical hardware that was necessary to produce the faked flying saucers in San Francisco. In contrast, BEAM just had to take his moped, drive to a rural location, wait for the pilots to bring their flying devices into a good position, take the photos (or films), return home and send the diaposi-

tive films to the laboratory, or, as was often the case, let volunteers take over the forwarding/dispatch.

What becomes evident by read-

ing about the legends explaining the pictures, is that anyone trying to fake photos of excellent quality, would need (at that time) very sophisticated equipment. Since BEAM took his photos between 1964 and 1982, it can be ruled out that he had access to both such equipment and the technical experts who







These two photos show the sequence of blowing up the smallest saucer and then masking it with great precision. Using the digitizer in his right hand while watching the screen, Ron carefully outlines the saucer, then paints in the outline with magenta. The sauce is now a discrete piece of information that can be dropped onto the general view. Any detail discrepancies can be tidled up once the saucer is in position.



Laser-scanning the slides — one general view and three saucers — is a far Watson at Pacific Lithographic in South San Francisco. The slides Tim Watson at Pacific Lithographic hos be signed onto the general view without having to be rotated. From this point on the photos are strictly digital information, no longer an analog of reality.



The dream machine at Pacific Litho, Ron Hegarty at the keyboard, me (Brand) in the no-shame put-ite-altitle-higher advisory position. The \$1,000,000-plus machine – viewer, computer, scanner, etc. – is a Chromacom, manufactured by Heil of West Germany. The major competition, Scitex, is made in Israel.

¹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whole Earth Review

were necessary to perform the retouching and faking.

From this results the question: What other method existed at that time to fake hundreds of clear photos of flying devices above the rural landscape in Switzerland, of a quality that could not be exposed as being fake? We will deal with this "problem" again in the following chapters.

Fact-Checking Nr. 3

On September 30, 1964, in the newspaper *The Statesman*, "published simultaneously from Delhi and Calcutta in India", an article was published about a Swiss man by the name "Edward Albert". The title of the article was: "'THE FLYING SAUCER MAN' LEAVES DELHI: Swiss Claims He Has Visited Three Planets" Let's just quote the following information from that article: "He has about 80 photographs of the space objects — all taken with an old folding camera. The objects in the photographs vary in size and shape. One is a globular object with a round disc in the centre; another is funnel-shaped; a third is like a neon lamp; a fourth is a big, bright cross and others bright zigzag lines. Some of these have been taken on the ground, and some ... flying in the sky."

Questions: How, and why, was this man Eduard Albert — obviously "Billy" Eduard Albert Meier — able to take "about 80 photographs of the space objects", all in black-and-white, 11 years before he started to shoot colour photographs in Switzerland? And why did he keep those photos private and not get them published, since the reporter wrote: "Indeed, the little he (BEAM) has to say has to be pried out of him. He doesn't want publicity; he doesn't care if anyone believes him or not."

Wouldn't the most logical answer be, that obviously the objects were deliberately positioned so that BEAM could easily use his folding camera, and later his Olympus 35 ECR camera? What would be the probability calculus that someone travelling by foot, train, donkeys, busses, ships etc. between Switzerland, North Africa and the Near East to India and Ceylon etc. would be able to gather an entire collection of "UFO" photographs? And what could be the reason for not making a story or trying to make a living from this exceptionally unique, one-person's luck of being able to take so many "UFO photos"?!



Fact-Checking Nr. 4

In 1995, Kal K. Korff, a long-time antagonist (to put it mildly) of BEAM, published *The Billy Meier Story: SPACESHIPS of the Pleiades*¹⁵, a 439-page book aiming at bringing out the "truth" about Billy Meier. As can be read on the book's jacket, Korff got very favourable preliminary support:

- Walter H. Andrus Jr., International Director, Mutual UFO Network, Inc. / MUFON: "Kal Korff must be congratulated for his determination and persistence in seeking the truth. ... His outstanding investigation is an exciting and yet intriguing exposé of what opportunists have called the most important UFO case in history."
- **Thomas M. Gates**, Astronomer/NASA Spokesman: "Kal Korff... with his openmindedness [and] that appropriate level of skepticism, has become one of the leading forces in the investigation of UFOs today."
- **Jerome Clark**, Vice-President J. Allen Hynek Center for UFO Studies: "This book is the definitive exposé of the most ambitious hoax in UFO history."

After the publication of Korff's book, (the late) Prof. James W. Deardorff published A Refutation of False Claims and Distortions by Korff Regarding the Talmud Jmmanuel¹⁶ in 1996, and the author of

¹⁴ A bigger picture may be found here (on page 5): https://www.figu.org/ch/files/downloads/zeitzeichen/figu-zeitzeichen-88.pdf

¹⁵ Prometheus Books, Amherst/New York, USA (1995)

¹⁶ http://www.tjresearch.info/refutekk.htm

this text also wrote a few comments about Korff's book in "Die Intrigen des Kal K. Korff" (The Intrigues of Kal K. Korff), published in FIGU-Bulletin Nr. 14 (February 1998)¹⁷.

In 2019, in an interview by Steven Cambian¹⁸, Korff announced his plans to write another book, which provided a good opportunity to examine Korff's abilities as a researcher and investigator of the Billy Meier case, as well his "objectivity" and "open-mindedness". Since the "standard of reasoning" is on an equal level throughout Korff's book", the author decided to focus on just one of the chapters, as this would suffice to demonstrate the "quality" of Korff's ability to draw logical conclusions and so forth. For this examination the author chose the chapter about the famous – or "infamous" – photos of a beamship circling around a fir tree that was later eliminated, which Korff "examined" in the chapter "'Disappearing Tree' Photos at Fuchsbüel" (on pages 169–193).


Since the photos Korff had examined are presented, i.e. printed in his book in low quality and somewhat blurred, the author decided to insert all those photos (scanned slides of unknown generation) at the respective places in the text below.


Note: At the Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden location, BEAM had photographed Semjase's small (one-seat only) beamship on several occasions, three of which are dealt with here: In 1975, on February 27 while hovering over the landscape, on February 28 while touching the branches of a fir tree, and on July 9 while circling around the same tree and again touching its branches.

As it turned out, as a result of the contact between ship and tree, the latter was contaminated by the emanated "radiation" (this will be taken up again a little later), which caused the tree to begin to wither and die off. Since the residue could have been analysed (at that time various groups were pursuing/tracking and observing BEAM during his "field excursions") by terrestrial scientists and could have led to new findings, the Plejaren had to take the necessary precautionary measures. Consequently, Semjase eliminated the tree.

The Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden photos were taken with BEAM's Olympus 35 ECR camera and using slide film. The distance scale ring on that camera is jammed on the infinity position, the guide number ring on 14 m. Both cannot be moved or turned. As a result, all objects close to the camera appear blurred.

Let's now delve into that chapter and find out what Korff did not consider, and especially to now ask the relevant questions he omitted.





¹⁷ https://www.figu.org/ch/figu-bulletin-nr-14/die-intrigen-des-kal-k-korff?page=0,0

¹⁸ http://www.oom2.com/t67457-steven-cambian-kal-korff-on-the-billy-meier-case-and-more

¹⁹ Landeskarte der Schweiz, Blatt 1092, Uster, 1:25'000, (updated) version 1990

<u>Page 169:</u> Korff only mentions July 9, 1975, but omits February 28 of the same year. He lists/mentions 10 photos and didn't show photo #56, and omitted to list photo #119.

<u>Page 170:</u> Regarding Wendelle Stevens' report, there's nothing specific to add, but what needs to be corrected is the fact that Elisabeth Gruber is Josef Gruber's wife, not Guido Moosbrugger's.

Korff begins by paraphrasing what Elisabeth Gruber and Simone Holler supposedly told him, insinuating that these photos of the beamship flying around the tree were the "most credible UFO pictures ever taken" and "the most authentic and greatest UFO pictures". This wording borders on defamation, because both women would never have stated such enthusiastic and exaggerated nonsense. Since for them, all of BEAM's photos of course were, and are, authentic, there was no "most" or "greatest". Either something is authentic, or it isn't. Mentioning this "style" of quoting, is to demonstrate how exaggeration can be used to falsify a message and influence a reader's opinion.

<u>Pages 171–174:</u> BEAM took two photos at the Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden site on February 28, 1975 at 1508 and 1509 hours, nine on July 9, 1975, between supposedly 1507 and 1514 hours, and another after the tree had been eliminated on July 14, at 1730 hours. In his report, Korff goes into all details regarding the short duration between the photos, concluding that BEAM's information could not be true by looking at the clouds. He mentions having contacted the "Schweizerische Meteorologische Zentralanstalt" to get information about the weather and wind conditions on July 9, 1975. (Probably he just quoted an earlier comment made by Colman Von Keviczky on page 30 of *The Meier Incident – The Most Infamous Hoax in Ufology!*²⁰.) Korff claims that BEAM could not have taken the photos within just a few minutes. He mentions that the wind speed had been only about 10-15 mph, which is about 16-18 km/h.

In order to verify Korff's and Von Keviczky's information about the wind speed, the author contacted Meteoschweiz²¹, the governmental successor organisation of "Schweizerische Meteorologische Zentralanstalt": Mr. Peter S. Meyer told the author that no meteorological observations/records exist from the Lake Pfäffikon area. The closest ones available are from Zürich City (about 22 km away). The wind values for Zürich MZA on Wednesday, July 9, 1975 were at 1300 h = 3.7 km/h from a southwestern direction; at 1900 h = 5.5 km/h from the north.


The weather situation/forecast for Switzerland for July 9 was as follows (see weather report on the next page): "Wednesday ante meridiem in north-eastern Switzerland some thunderstorms. On Wednesday evening and through the night into Thursday north-east to a line Schaffhausen-Bern-Leysin-Gotthard-San Bernardino-Schuls local thunderstorms."

This weather situation/forecast corresponds very well with the cloud formation in the July 9 photos (see next two pages). What we see is an obvious thunderstorm situation, which is clearly visible when observing both the heavy rain clouds and the sunlit areas in the sky. This is typical for summer thunderstorms, which usually are accompanied by suddenly appearing blasts of wind and which pass by within just one or very few hours. Together with the thunderstorm's disappearance, the wind and turbulence will cease.

Conclusion: During the time BEAM had taken the few photos, the probability is very high that at least up in the sky and among the clouds, strong winds were blowing. Whether or not only a few minutes had elapsed while taking the various photos or an hour, is just an academic or a pseudo-problem, actually, and in no way is decisive or important, because for anyone studying the photos, it is clearly detectable that the cloud formations indicate that all nine photos of July 9 were taken on the same day, and all of them within a rather short period of time. By overemphasizing the short time elapsed and airing his opinion, Korff tries to generate the impression of having conducted a relevant scientific and logical research procedure, all the while evading the really relevant questions which he should have asked.

²⁰ by Kal K. Korff, with the editorial assistance of William L. Moore, 1981, W.L. Moore Publications, Burbank/USA

²¹ www.meteoschweiz.admin.ch



When looking at the photos below and on the next page, take notice of BEAM having shifted and changed his position while taking them, which can be confirmed by looking at the south-east end/shore of Lake Pfäffikon behind the tree. Depending on the vertical direction of the camera lens (down or up), more or fewer clouds, or more or less of the lake area is visible.

On page 173 Korff claims that the cloud formation disproves the fact that BEAM took these two photos (#71 and #76) within one minute. Obviously Korff did not realise that BEAM had walked a few steps to the side to take the other photo (see red arrows). Korff made the same "mistake" again when comparing photos #69 and 45 (page 174). To repeat: It is absolutely irrelevant whether BEAM had taken the two photos within one or two or more minutes. Korff creates a problem where there isn't any.



The two photos above and the other eight below were taken during the afternoon of July 9, 1975, except the last one to the right (next page), which was taken 5 days later in a southern direction, after the tree had been eliminated.

Especially interesting is picture #57, where the blurred branches of a fir tree can be seen closer to the camera, while in the distance the beamship, the tree and the landscape are in focus! It looks exactly as it should, since BEAM had used his Olympus camera.



<u>Page 175–182:</u> On these pages Korff tries to explain at length that photos "six and seven" (= photos #65 and #119; see the next page), which have been taken at a more or less 90° angle to the left and, therefore in a southern direction, prove that BEAM had faked the photos. He concludes: "Another incriminating fact in all ten of Billy Meier's Fuchsbüel UFO photographs is that the "tree" visible in his pictures always faces the same side! This is an impossibility if Meier's Fuchsbüel pictures are authentic and really do show a large craft circling a large tree. It is obvious that Meier moved around a lot while taking his ten pictures, covering a minimum of 180° from horizon to horizon as we've already established. Yet the "tree" visible in Meier's photos always shows the same one side, as if no

significant change of angle or movement ever takes place! The tree is the only object in Meier's Fuchsbüel photos which does not reflect accurately the changes in the movement of the photographer accordingly." Korff correctly noticed "that the background scenery has changed entirely, and the cloud formations do not resemble those in his first five pictures!" But obviously he had failed to notice that the hills in the background are covered by snow! There's no snow on those low mountains in July! To Korff's credit, it must be admitted that the date attributed to these two photos in the *Photo-Verzeichnis*²² he was referring to, is not correct and should read February 28, 1975.

To repeat: Korff claims on page 182 that the tree has been photographed from the same side, both while directing the camera to the west as well as to the south. This, however, is not true, as a close look (observation) proves. It is clearly detectable that the tree has not turned around, and that the shape of the small branches corresponds with the two different angles/directions. There's kind of a gap near the top of the tree, heading both to the left and also up the hill, as these two close-up cropped selections below demonstrate and prove.



photo #65 in a southern direction



photo #66 in a western direction

Of course BEAM had not "turned a tree around" to fake photos. And the fact that there's a difference in the angle between the February (in a southern direction) and July (in a western direction) photos of the tree top, is detectable if one "wants to see" the difference.



Btw: Something Korff didn't consider: Closely compare the two twin trees (fir tree with needles on the left, and larch tree without needles on the right; photos #119 and #120), photographed in winter on February 28, and the same two trees in summer, photographed on July 14, 1975, and also look at the whitish pole in both pictures (red and blue arrows). And also notice the snow spot (green arrows) which proves that BEAM had walked to the left or right between the two photos #65 and #119.

²² Verzeichnis der Nummern und Legenden aller FIGU-Photos bis September 1999 (1975/1991/1999 FIGU)

On page 188, Korff writes: "The final "smoking gun" that **proves conclusively** there was **never** a tree at the Fuchsbüel location can be found, ironically, in Meier's photo number 27, which was analyzed earlier in this chapter. Since we now know that Billy Meier's photo number 27 was taken also at Fuchsbüel and that this picture **predates** Meier's Fuchsbüel 'tree' photos by some **4.5 months**²³, it's interesting to note that in this photo no tree is visible either!"

What Korff obviously was unable to conclude, the readers may easily do: While looking at photo #119, imagine that after having taken that photo, you pan/swing the "imagined camera (your eyes)" to the left to shoot photo #27 (of Feb 27, 1975). By comparing the two "edge trees" of the forest in the background, marked by the yellow arrows, will change Korff's "smoking gun" turn into a "barrel burst"!

On pages 185–193 Korff mentions a few persons who denied that the tree in question ever existed: "UFOlogist" Major Ret. Colman S. Von Keviczky MMSE (of ICUFON Inc., New York), Hans Jacob, a former and early FIGU member, and also the owners of the land, Hermann Wyss and his wife. While Korff quoted Hermann Wyss' "Noooo!", there's no mention of any attempt by him to ask the Wyss family if they had any available photos of the meadow between their farmhouse and the forest in a north-western direction. In a case where there's a question about whether an object had existed at a certain time on a certain property, asking for photos from private albums should be self-evident and logical for any capable investigator.

Coming back to the issue of the tree emanating radiation, mentioned by Korff as "radioactive" (page 186) or as "a bright red, glowing tree" (page 188): this entirely false assumption can probably be traced back to an erroneous translation into English. According to BEAM, the tree emanated a faint "Strahlung" = radiation, or more precisely a "Schwingung" = oscillation/swinging-wave, which was NOT radioactive, did NOT glow and, therefore, could NOT be seen. But since the effect could have been detected by terrestrial scientists, resulting in new findings and enhancing scientific etc. progress that could be used for negative purposes, the tree had to be eliminated.

The author admits that the information about a tree having been eliminated without any trace of its former existence, may be dismissed as a figment of the imagination, to put it mildly. Therefore this "eliminated tree" requires some additional evaluation and argumentation, for it is one of the two main objects disputed in the debate – the beamship versus the model being the other one. For Korff the verdict is clear (page 188): "... moved his small potted tree and the UFO model...". Presuming that most readers – just as Korff – are not familiar with the shape of fir trees, the author presents a few examples and explanations:





Height of the two fir trees: the left one = about 10 m, the right one = about 5 m Note: The Fuchsbüel tree is estimated as having had a height of about 14 m!

-

²³ Photo number 27 was taken on February 27, 1975, at 1652 h.





This fir is a part of a hedge and is limited in size because it has been cut about 1.2 m above the ground, which caused several branches to grow in a vertical direction, thereby forming multiple tops.



View of a Christmas tree plantation in front of trees more than 25 metres high





This young (red arrow) fir is approx. 3.5 metres high, and the 3 (yellow arrow) are approx. 6-7 metres high.

Their age is estimated to be 7 to about 12 years. Note the sparse growth/thickness/shape of the top parts of all the young trees. Also note that only the small ones in the front would be suitable as potted trees, while the indicated ones are already too high for such a purpose. This raises several logical and necessary questions, resulting in definitive answers/conclusions, all of which Korff totally failed to ask and draw.

- 1) Is the "UFO tree" a big one, or a small one? Answer: Definitively a big one.
- 2) As we know, BEAM had photographed the same tree both in February and in July. The tree had been at the same spot on both occasions. Considering that the landowners, the Wyss family, has denied that there ever was such a tree on that spot, BEAM would have had to transport the tree two times. This leads to the question of whether a big tree can be transported in a pot? Answer: Yes and no. If it would have been grown in a pot since it was a sapling, it could not have grown

into a big tree (lack of earth and space for its roots, etc.), and when a big tree is dug out for transport, a net and not a pot is needed, and of course a crane truck.

- 3) Would someone who intends to fake UFO photos put a transportably-made big tree in a meadow at a location like the one at Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden, at such a close distance to the farm and clearly visible from the Ober-Balm/Hofhalden houses? Answer: Of course not.
- 4) Could BEAM have transported a big tree with his moped and the small trailer? Answer: No.
- 5) Would BEAM risk his "photo-faking mastership" by driving around on his moped, thus making himself visible as he transports a "potted" tree on his small trailer? Answer: No.



Meier's moped and trailer on the Hasenböl site above Fischenthal.

- 6) Since it has already been proven that the tree in the Fuchsbüel pictures is a big one, consequently and logically the object circling around the tree must also be quite large. As it is clear from examining the photos, especially #57, it is certain that both the tree and the silvery object are many metres away from the camera. The beamship or alleged "model" must be about the size of the tree's thickness, i.e. between ca. 3 to 4 metres. Could BEAM have made, and brought with him, a model of that size, on his moped, since 3.5 metres is wider than half of any cross-country road? Answer: No.
- 7) Would he have used a helicopter for transporting his "model", resulting in a lot of attention from people living in the vicinity, especially the landowners? <u>Answer:</u> No.
- 8) For anyone suggesting that BEAM had used a balloon in the shape of a disc, here's a list of questions that would have to be sensibly and logically answered:
 - Is it possible to shape and produce a balloon like the beamship as shown in the photos? <u>Answer:</u> Probably yes, but where and by whom?
 - And is it possible that BEAM could have fabricated one by himself (alone and with just one hand, etc.)? <u>Answer:</u> No.
 - Presuming that BEAM was able to buy such a balloon, he would have had to bring a heavy helium pressure container with him to inflate the balloon on the spot, performing this procedure with just one hand, while securing the balloon with several strong cords (based on the fact that the beamship is not hovering or "floating" in a horizontal mode only, but shows a tilt in several pictures, which would have required more than just one cord to produce the effect).
 - Is it possible that he could have directed the balloon around the tree, pulling on those invisible (!) cords, and simultaneously also handling the camera quite some distance away, all the while hoping that no one would take notice of him hoaxing "UFO pictures" with a shiny balloon? <u>Answer:</u> This is impossible.
 - How strong would the upwards pull on the cords be, caused by the substantial amount of helium, and what effect would it have on the hand holding them? How would you produce the tilt with just one hand? <u>Answer:</u> Anyone thinking rationally would certainly exclude the use of a balloon (not only at the Fuchsbüel site, but also elsewhere!).
- 9) Is there any logical and feasible way that BEAM could have brought a big tree and a big model to the spot and was able to stage the beamship-plus-tree photos, both in February and July 1975, all with just one hand? Any logical (!) explanation is welcome²⁴.

While keeping in mind all that has been explained above, it's now time for the "grande finale" of the tragicomedy and also by using the "smoking gun" metaphor that Korff mentioned in his book as a symbol for his definitive verdict – that BEAM had faked the Fuchsbüel photos. Unfortunately for him, but unavoidably, it turns out that – through his self-induced blindness, prejudice, deceitfulness and total incompetence as an investigator – Korff wasn't aware that he was holding the gun the wrong way around and erroneously shot at he himself.


²⁴ christian.frehner@figu.org

What all investigators, all antagonists, "UFO experts" and "sceptics" etc. failed to assess during the last 45 years, can now finally be proven: The "eliminated tree" is not a figment of imagination or a clever hoax performed by Billy Meier, but had really existed!

In the course of writing this essay, the author visited the site at Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden, took some photos and then questioned BEAM about the exact location of the "famous/infamous" eliminated tree. When looking at the author's photos and because of his incomplete memory (due to his heavy accident in 1982 and brain injury), BEAM was not sure about the situation and asked Ptaah for clarification, who - because not having been involved in the issue at that time - contacted his daughter Semiase and, finally, could report to BEAM on March 2, 2020 (730th official contact²⁵), what had really happened concerning the photo sessions of the beamship and the tree. Summarizing a detailed explanation, the situation happened to be as follows: During his excursions on his moped to take his photographic evidence, BEAM was followed by all kinds of people from very early on, by police officers and especially also military personnel. One of these secretly spying persons had been Hans Jacob who, in his delusional belief, assumed he would be able to photograph BEAM while meeting the devil. (!) On that day in February, Semjase had spotted Hans Jacob in the vicinity with his spyglass, his photo camera and film camera, spying on BEAM. Therefore she had ordered BEAM to wait further up the slope, on the road that led along the border of the forest. While she was circling her ship around the tree at the bottom of the slope, she "performed/produced" a "real-projection" ("Real-Projektion") of the scene right in front to where BEAM stood, unknown to and undetected by him since then. Jacob had parked his car on an upper road and was slowly sneaking closer and looking through his spyglass. When Semjase spotted him among the trees, about 20 metres away from BEAM, and stepping out of the forest, where he wanted to take a photo, she urged Meier to immediately stop taking further photos and leave, which he did. That's why Jacob could not walk down towards BEAM and meet him. The reason why Semjase kept her "performance" a secret and did not inform BEAM was because she wanted to prevent BEAM from becoming agitated, and that's why she also didn't inform him that Jacob had followed him several times before, just as others had done and which had been the reason why photo sessions had to be abruptly stopped several times before, and after.

When they repeated the photo session at Fuchsbüel-Hofhalden in July, in order to finally perform, photograph and prove the complete flight around the tree, Semjase repeated the "real projection" and again did not inform BEAM about her technical-based "performance". When finished with the taking of photos, Semjase eliminated the tree.

But let us now have a look at the map, in order to explain and clarifying the various positions:




²⁵ Since January 28, 1975, and until March 2, 2020, BEAM had 2067 personal and 1518 telepathic mission-related contacts with the Plejaren extraterrestrials from the Plejaren star cluster in the ANKAR universe. From all these contacts, 730 contact reports exist (most of them already published in the 16 volumes of "Plejadisch-plejarische Kontaktberichte".

And now let's continue with proving that the tree had really existed.


Switzerland is famous for its high-quality maps, an example of which has already been shown on page 8 in this document. Over the years, the changes on the surface of the land/landscape, effected by the massive increase in population and the connected effects (less agricultural land, more buildings and traffic lines etc. etc.), are constantly recorded by the Federal Agency "Swisstopo". Consequently, every 15-20 years, updated maps are published and then used by a substantial part of the population. In order to update the maps, aerial photos are required; therefore all regions in Switzerland are repeatedly photographed at certain intervals from a specially equipped airplane. Based on this explanation, you may probably already deduce what will soon be revealed: What if the tree was photographed from above before it was eliminated? But see for yourself (and test it by clicking on the links)!

An aerial photo taken in 1972 (Hittnau > Fuchsbüel/Hofhalden/Ober-Balm):



https://api3.geo.admin.ch/luftbilder/viewer.html?lang=de&width=8954&layer=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbild-er schwarzweiss&bildnummer=19722460013515&title=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder-dritte-kan-tone.ebkey&rotation=0&datenherr=swisstopo&height=9430&x=4477.00&y=4715.00&zoom=2

Yellow rectangle = see cropped detail below.



 $\frac{\text{https://api3.geo.admin.ch/luftbilder/viewer.html?lang=de\&width=8954\&layer=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder schwarzweiss\&bildnum-mer=19722460013515\&title=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder-dritte-kantone.ebkey&rotation=0\&datenherr=swisstopo\&height=9430&x=2908.00\&y=1711.00&zoom=6$

As is clearly visible in this photo, the tree that was eliminated in July 1975 really existed three years earlier, in 1972 (see red arrow)! The small spot, a group of small trees that is depicted on the maps today, has just started to grow (see yellow arrow).

Here's an enlargement of the meadow with the fir tree.




https://api3.geo.admin.ch/luftbilder/viewer.html?lang=de&width=8954&layer=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder schwarzweiss&bildnum-mer=19722460013515&title=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder-dritte-kantone.ebkey&rotation=0&datenherr=swisstopo&height=9430&x=2739.24&y=1784.56&zoom=7


The readers may perform their own studies by clicking on the following link:

https://map.geo.admin.ch/?topic=swisstopo&layers=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder schraegaufnahmen,ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder schwarzweiss&bgLayer=ch.swisstopo.pixelkarte-farbe&layers timestamp=,99991231,99991231&catalogNodes=1392,1430&lang=de&layers visibility=false,false,true&E=2703754.68&N=1245954.05&zoom=8

The red markings refer to the year when an aerial photo was taken. For example, by clicking on the 66, a photo of the Fuchsbüel/Hofhalden area in 1966 appears. "The tree" can be seen in same spot.



Aerial colour photo taken in 1991:



https://api3.geo.admin.ch/luftbilder/viewer.html?lang=de&width=16797&layer=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder_farbe&bildnum-mer=19910010119999&title=ch.swisstopo.lubis-luftbilder-dritte-kantone.ebker&rotation=233&datenherr=swisstopo&height=16994&x=7592.39&y=10208.83&zoon=6

The red arrow indicates the location where the fir tree had existed. The yellow arrow shows the group of small trees visible today.

These wide-angle photos were taken on Jan 19, 2020 by the author.



View to the west (and turning around on the spot): The "group of small trees" farther up the slope



What now remains to be said after exposing the total failure of Korff and his biased supporters: Will any of them, and especially Korff, have the decency and show enough character to publicly apologise for having slandered and attacked the honour and sincerity of Billy Meier? We'll see, but I would not recommend holding one's breath.

Fact-Checking Nr. 5

After having proven that the "disappearing tree" had previously been a real, live tree, the chance appears favourable to present proof of another "vanished" tree. On October 17, 1976, BEAM and 11 people went to Langriemenholz near Hinwil, where they let rise an 85 m³ hot-air balloon they had built the same day. It was covered with aluminium foil, and the intention was to stir the interest of the air traffic control and radar station at Dübendorf Military airport. Earlier that day, BEAM had announced a special demonstration by Semjase. At the launch location, BEAM requested that everybody should keep a keen eye on the surroundings. When the balloon was up in the air and drifted away, BEAM followed it on his moped. He let the others follow him with their cars a separate way. When they met him at his house, he urged them to immediately return to the spot where they had launched the balloon. There they were informed that while having been away, Semjase had eliminated a small fir tree. Upon investigating the designated spot, they didn't find any trace or sign that a tree had grown there. The only indication to support BEAM's explanation, was the fact that the small beech tree, which now was standing alone on the meadow, showed a lack of branches on the side where, according to BEAM, the other tree had still stood only a few minutes earlier. Later, when the six photos, which had been taken by Guido Moosbrugger, came back from the laboratory, the evidence was available for everyone to see.







Second photo also with both trees



Margaret F., Herbert R., BEAM, Olga W., Hans Sch.



The beech, now standing alone

Next page: The location where the fir tree had existed just a few minutes earlier can be seen, looking exactly as it should. Where the dark spot can be seen, the tree had grown for an estimated 7-10 years. On the place where the trunk of the tree had stuck its roots into the ground, no grass or herbs etc. had grown, nor were there halms or straw laying around as there were else in the close vicinity.





The dark spot beside the small beech tree, viewed from opposite directions

Fact-Checking Nr. 6

An important part of the Billy Meier case is the massive body of information about coming events, scientific discoveries, catastrophes and negative consequences of human behaviour, among other things. BEAM has published an entire book with the title *Prophetien und Voraussagen*²⁶ (Prophecies and Predictions). Since the last printing of that book in 1982, many additional prophecies and predictions have been published, written either by the teenager Eduard Meier, as also by the prophet Jeremia (Jeremiah), by Henoch (Enoch), by Hilak and Sfath of extraterrestrial origin. While the faithful of Christianity, Judaism and Islam, etc. see or have no problem believing in the prophecies made by the old prophets or "Jesus Christ" etc., they absolutely deny any possibility that a man currently living in Switzerland is capable of delivering information about events etc. that will inevitably happen in the future (predictions), or will surely happen if no changes and improvement in the humankind's overall thinking and behaviour occurs (prophecies). It's interesting – and typical – to note that, while what is written in the Bible or New Testament or other "holy books" isn't scrutinized and is taken at face value, high demands are made regarding BEAM's real "foretelling capability". Congruent and logical thinking, and righteousness, would demand that the same standards are applied in all similar situations and conditions.

Before investigating the "prediction and prophecy" part within the Billy Meier case, it is important to address a basic requirement concerning the possibility of predictions. Since a prediction by definition will be fulfilled with 100% certainty, this requires that at least some aspects of the future are already unfolding/happening at the time when the prediction is worked out and unveiled. As we know from the Plejadisch-plejarische Kontaktberichte Blocks²⁸ (Contact Reports), one variation (there are others as well) to assess information of future events is - by applying technical means - to "jump" into the future and observe what one intends to observe. Examples of information acquired in this way are definitive announcements regarding the total destruction of San Francisco, the 9-point Richter-scale seaquake off the west coast of the Portland region (USA), or Aukland in New Zealand being «blown into the air», or the Mount Marsili undersea volcano in Italy erupting, etc.; all of this occurring in the "foreseeable" future. However, this topic of the simultaneousness of dimensions of past, present and future will not be discussed further in this document. But we have to ask: Is there any proof that BEAM or the extraterrestrial human beings he has (or "claims to have") contact with, are capable of delivering relevant information that terrestrial scientists were not aware of, and didn't know about, when the information had been published by BEAM? Let's start with an example: Presuming that, this month (March 2020), the author publishes two statements in a newsletter or book, predicting or "claiming" that 1) in the year 2031 on the 1st of April the International Space Station will be hit by a meteorite, and 2) that in the year 2032, scientists will detect a previously unknown radiation emanated by our sun, which they will call "peculiar solar wind". If it turns out that both events occur exactly as predicted, how would this result be assessed, explained or judged? As a miracle? As two

 $^{{\}color{red}^{26}} \ \underline{\text{https://shop.figu.org/b\%C3\%BCcher/prophetien-und-voraussagen?language=de}}$

²⁷ as e.g. in the New Testament's Matthew 24:15 ff. (see **Part 1** in the Appendix for some additional information and comparison)

²⁸ https://shop.figu.org/b%C3%BCcher/plejadisch-plejarische-kontaktberichte-block-1

lucky guesses? As an extraordinary coincidence? As divine inspiration? As evidence of the factual possibility to predict the future? As evidence that the future already exists? ...

What certainly can be concluded is that the probability ratio of someone like you (reader) or me (author) being able to predict these two events to occur exactly at the specified times and in the predicted manner is extremely low, actually nearly zero. (Currently there are attempts to calculate such a probability ratio.)

Now, after having studied and judged these two supposed examples, the same evaluation standards should be fairly applied to the two following occurrences.

During the 31st contact of July 17, 1975²⁹, BEAM was conversing with the extraterrestrial Ptaah about our Solar system:

Billy Dann kann man nichts machen. Unter diesem Van-Allen-Gürtel kann ich mir eigentlich überhaupt nichts vorstellen, ebensowenig kann ich mir etwas ausarbeiten mit den Protonen und Elektronen. Aber das ist ja egal, mich interessiert nur, wie dieser Gürtel aufgebaut ist, ich meine, welche Bewegung er hat. Ausserdem interessiert mich der weitere Gürtel, der weit ausserhalb unserer Erde und ausserhalb der Plutobahn nebst der Ortschen-Wolke bestehen soll, wie mir Semiase kürzlich im Vertrauen sagte.

Ptaah

- 965. Der Van-Allen-Gürtel befindet sich in einer Höhe von durchschnittlich 1000 Kilometern.
- 966. Die aufgeladenen Teilchen befinden sich in dauernder Bewegung, und zwar auf spiralförmigen Bahnen von Pol zu Pol.
- 967. Der andere von dir angesprochene Gürtel ist eigentlich noch unbekannt und wird in kommender Zeit erst entdeckt und dann Kaiber-Gürtel genannt werden.
- 968. In ihm ballen sich Eisen-, Gesteins- und Eisbrocken zusammen, woraus unter anderem auch Kometen und Meteore entstehen, die dann von dort aus in das innere SOL-System gelangen, nebst Kometen und Meteoren, die aus der Ortschen-Wolke stammen.
- 969. Der Gürtel, den du noch sehen wirst, befindet sich tatsächlich ausserhalb der Plutobahn, wie dir meine Tochter erklärt hat.
- 970. Er war schon zur Zeit der SOL-Systembildung für das Entstehen der Planeten und des Lebens auf diesen wichtig, so also auch für die Gesamtentwicklung für Flora und Fauna.
- Billy Das verstehe ich, aber alles andere ist für mich soviel wie ein böhmischer Wald. Das gehört leider in die

Billy: ... Actually I cannot imagine anything concerning that Van Allen belt, and just as little can I work out anything with the protons and electrons. But this doesn't matter, because I'm only interested in how this belt is constructed, I mean, what kind of movement it has. Furthermore, I'm interested in the other belt that is said to exist far away from our Earth and beyond Pluto's orbit beside the Oort Cloud, as Semjase has recently told me in confidence.

Ptaah: The Van Allen belt is situated at an average altitude of 1000 kilometres. The charged particles are in a continuous movement, and they move on spiral courses from pole to pole. The other belt you mentioned is still unknown, actually, and will first be detected in the coming time, and it will be called **Kaiber** belt. In it chunks of iron, rock and ice conglomerate together, from which, among other things, also comets and meteors develop, which, from there, then get into the inner SOL system, along with (other) comets and meteors that originate from the Oort cloud. The belt which you will get to see really exists beyond Pluto's orbit, as my daughter has explained to you. It was already important at the time of the formation of the SOL system, for the formation of planets and the life on them, thus also for the overall development of flora and fauna.

Although BEAM had written the name of the belt a little bit differently in 1975 (as he had heard it phonetically when Ptaah mentioned it during the actual conversation), namely "Kaiber" instead of "Kuiper", there's no doubt that Ptaah was referring to the Kuiper belt³⁰. The theory of its existence had been postulated in 1980 by Julio Ángel Fernández and, confirmed in 1988, in a computer simulation by Scott Tremaine. He was also the one who coined the term Kuiper belt. Unknown to these scientists, this belt had already been mentioned **five years earlier** in a contact report.

²⁹ Plejadisch-plejarische Kontaktberichte, Block 1, a 500-pages book of 2002, replacing the two former editions of the contact reports.

³⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kuiper_belt

During the 150th contact of October 10, 1981, BEAM was conversing with the extraterrestrial Quetzal about many topics, as well as about predictions. Here's an excerpt of that conversation³¹:

Billy: ... But tell me, since we're already talking about this Destroyer (comet): According to the prophecies transmitted to me, still different comets and also meteors of enormous size move around like ghosts, which will have an effect on the Earth

and the life of this world. I am particularly interested in the "Red Meteor". Now, is this the Destroyer, or is this another comet that passes again and again through our solar system?

Quetzal: Neither, my friend. The meteor mentioned in the prophecies, which proves to be of enormous size and will cause the most vicious destructions on Earth, threatens to bring along climatic and also tectonic and other changes, and (will threaten) to split the crust of the Earth from the present-day North Sea down to the Black Sea, which, however, does not have to occur with certainty, because certain factors speak against this. It approaches from the depths of outer space towards the SOL-system and is a stranger, so to speak.

Billy: You mean that this does not refer to a known comet, which again and again passes by our system on its path?


Quetzal: That is correct, because this meteor travels on a path which leads it to the SOL-system for the first time. In earlier times, it was never in this area of space.


Billy: And its travel shall end on the Earth? Could you not do anything about this? Quetzal: You know very well that it will occur (note: the meteor approaching) and that we are not allowed to halt this event. The cosmic powers have pre-programmed this event, which could only be stopped or prevented by Earth humanity themselves.

And during the 475th contact of November 26, 2008³², in a conversation with Ptaah, there was additional information:

Billy: ... Concerning the Red Meteor, which will endanger the Earth on 13 April 2029 and about which we already spoke on 16







September, I was asked about a few things and would therefore like to know how big the bloke actually is. To my knowledge the terrestrial astronomers had also already discovered it quite some time ago and call it Aprophis or something. In the year 2029 it is supposed to either hit the Earth or whiz past it only very narrowly. If the latter should be the case, then a next dangerous meteor appearance would be in the year 2036, whereby then a nearing to the Earth could all the more lead to the catastrophe, if nothing is undertaken against it by the scientists or nothing changes in relation to the trajectory of the meteor itself.

³¹ http://www.futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 150

^{32 &}lt;a href="http://futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 475">http://futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 475

Ptaah: Its size is around 350 metres. The scientists know what you are saying about the great danger the red meteor poses to the Earth. And unless something results from a special influence of the outer SOL satellites, then the Earth is actually threatened by a catastrophe. In order to avoid this, however, the terrestrial scientists are also urged to undertake every conceivable possibility to push the meteor permanently out of its orbit.

This Red Meteor, now known as 99942 Apophis³³, was discovered on June 19, 2004, by Roy A. Tucker, David J. Tholen, and Fabrizio Bernardi at the Kitt Peak National Observatory, nearly **23 years after** first having been mentioned in the contact reports.

See also: https://theyflyblog.com/2015/05/04/new-confirmation-of-billy-meiers-warnings-about-apophis/

Conclusion: As these two examples, chosen from a great body of other and similarly foretelling information from BEAM's contact reports, prove, BEAM has published clear information about cosmicastronomical facts years before these were officially detected by terrestrial scientists. The logical question now to be asked. is: How is it possible to foretell events which have no human-induced cause with such precision? Since the probability of one person having two such perfect hits is nearly zero, what would be a reasonable explanation? Since everything in the universe is based on the law of cause and effect, something like "a miracle" must be excluded. There remains only one solution: BEAM has the capability to get access either directly from the future, or he gets information from human beings who have the capability to fly through space and have a far superior knowledge and scientific abilities to perform research, the results of which they will convey to BEAM. This is congruent with, and evidence of, what BEAM has been stating publicly since 1964, namely of having contacts with highly-advanced human beings from other star systems. Actually there's no other rational and logical explanation, especially also when considering all the conclusions that had to be drawn from the many logical questions and related answers above.

Fact-Checking Nr. 7

During his so-called "Great Journey" in 1975, when BEAM had the opportunity to travel for five days through the vastness of the universe and even "over" into a "twin universe", he used the occasion to shoot hundreds of photos of all kinds of objects, both in space and during excursions to various worlds. He was also allowed to take some photos of Asket and Nera, and due to the various vibrational emanations/frequencies (Strahlungen) or radiation in the space ship, the photos appeared somewhat hazy and dull. The fact that BEAM's antagonists had found two look-alikes in the USA, the singer-dancers Michelle DellaFave and Susan Lund (from the Dean Martin Show), led to the antagonists accusing BEAM of having copied the Asket-and-Nera pictures by having taken photos of the two singer-dancers from off the TV-set.





Top left: Michelle DellaFave, Susan Lund and another singer; top right and below left (next page): photos based on BEAM's original photos. It is not entirely clear about all the manipulations, but there are some obvious differences to the photos from the Dean Martin Show (see markings), indicating that they had not been taken from the TV screen.

-

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/99942 Apophis

The fact that Asket's hair appeared whitish instead of brownish as it is in reality, BEAM had ascribed to the radiation in the beamship. When later confronted with the pictures of the look-alikes, BEAM stopped selling the three photos. Later, Ptaah confirmed that BEAM's photos really showed Asket, but that there was some manipulation done by external "forces", etc.



Anyone who's interested in reading more about this issue, will find this author's report, initiated by Michelle DellaFave's visit to the Semjase-Silver-Star-Center on April 27, 2017³⁴. The report is a summarization of information from the following contact reports:

Contact Nr.	Date	Plejadisch-plejarische Kontaktberichte	Page(s)
31	July 17, 1975	Block 1	283
39	December 3, 1975	Block 2	40
263	May 8, 1998	Block 8	14
505	November 18, 2010	Block 12	230
526	August 27, 2011	Block 12	380
680	May 7, 2017	Block 15	464

Additional interesting and enlightening information may be viewed at about 7:15 min in the video What School won't tell you 35 .

Fact-Checking Nr. 8

An important learning effect from studying the Spiritual Teaching is, to realize one's obligation to always bear responsibility: toward oneself, toward other human beings, and toward nature with its fauna and flora. As a consequence, and as a part of his "mission" – of which he became aware while still very young – BEAM has repeatedly sent his prophecies and predictions etc. to governments, the media and certain scientists, warning and urging them to take the necessary measures against humankind's threatening global "suicide attempt" that began over 200 years ago. The result of his repeated attempts to stir responsibility in the addressed persons was devastating: zero response. Instead of fulfilling their obligations to work out the best policies for the benefit of the citizens, customers and people in general, the leaders in politics, the economy and religions etc. are failing miserably and neglect to fulfil their responsibility. As a result, confusion, egoism, indifference, hatred, war, terror and other terrible things are spreading. Like a huge herd of sheep, the masses of people allow themselves to be manipulated and kept in closed circles, in order to prevent them from waking up and becoming aware of the real cause behind all pressing problems, namely the unchecked increase in population numbers: overpopulation!

³⁴ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YTIMuzdDXnA

³⁵ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8-s2lvGnd9c

That which would be so easy to grasp for a normal first-grader, when confronted with the principle of cause and effect, seems to be impossible to comprehend, or admit, for politicians, clerics, CEOs, the majority of nature-protection organisations as well as – currently – the growing number of Greta Thunberg acolytes, etc.

The facts are plain to see, at least for any person whose reasoning capacity is not hampered by belief-based censorship. According to the principle "the more people around a cake, the smaller the portions" (except if more resources are used to produce more cakes), reality proves that the more people on a limited area (a house, plot of land, a country, planetary landmasses),

- the more consumption of crops, meat, vegetables, sweet water, clothing, electricity, oil, coal, gas, wood, ore, rare earth minerals, flight miles, ...
- the more production of vehicles (way over 95% of them driven by fossil fuels), streets, buildings, fertilizers, a huge variety of poisons, waste (radioactive, plastic, food, etc.), sewage, charcoal, methane, CO₂ and other gaseous substances, household articles, throw-aways, ...
- the more exhaustion/exploitation/extermination/depletion of rain forests, intact nature areas, groundwater, ores, faunal and floral species, ...
- the more large-scale social and personal effects, such as aggression, crowding stress (Dichte-stress), warmongering, extremism, fundamentalism, false egoism, mercilessness, criminality, terrorism, pandemics, mental instability, psychic ailments, cancer, allergies, fatalism, indifference, migrations, ...

From this follows, among other conclusions, that "climate warming"³⁶ is "just" a symptom, like fever in the case of an untreated infection caused by a compound fracture of a person's shinbone. Taking this example and looking at the necessary decisions: What would be the sensible and necessary medical treatment in such a situation?

- covering the wound, analgesic in ever higher doses and varieties, and then hoping that everything would heal by itself or
- 2) surgery, wound treatment, stabilisation of the bones, antibiotic and/or analgesic, physiotherapy, self-training, etc.

Compared with the current controversy occurring in the media, the economy and politics, especially in the western world, this corresponds with these actual reactions:

- focussing on the increased output of CO₂ and methane etc., shouting for panic, demanding a vegan lifestyle for all, totally ignoring the unchecked global increase in customers (approx. 100 million more persons each year!), etc.
 instead of
- 2) realising that overpopulation is the basic cause of all other problems and immediately initiating effective measures (explained below)

A few questions based on what has been mentioned above:

What is the only logical measure to be taken when there is an unhealthy or life-endangering situation based on the unchecked growth of the triggering factor?

Answer: Prevent any further growth and initiate effective measures for a reduction.

Applying this logical procedure to the overpopulation problem, what would be the logical measure? <u>Answer:</u> There's only one humane measure, namely the prevention of pregnancies.

As this objective obviously stands in conflict with the human beings' urge to multiply and – based on an entirely false understanding of freedom – a "devil-will-care" attitude, what can be done to solve that conflict?

<u>Answer:</u> Through incessant educational work and information by all governments and other responsible persons and organisations etc., aimed at enlightening the population about the gravity of the

³⁶ According to the Plejaren, the terrestrial climate has already increased by 1.4 degrees since the beginning of industrialization

overall situation on the planet, and explaining the urgent need for a global birthrate-check and for a one- or maximal two- or a no-child family plan. Women who decide not to have/bear their own children should be respected, and all rewards and campaigns for "producing" babies must be stopped.

How high is the chance and probability that this educational and responsibility-appealing "Marshall Plan" will get started soon?

Answer: Zero.

And how high is the chance that terrestrial demography "scientists" will accept the fact that the Plejaren science and technical means enable them to monitor each and any human birth and death³⁷, based on the different frequencies of individuals emanated by the human brain and body?

<u>Answer:</u> Nearly zero.

Fact-Checking Nr. 9

At the end of this short "journey" looking down at the tip of the "Billy Meier Iceberg", it is necessary to also shed a few words about the main evidence: namely the historical uniqueness of "Billy" Eduard Albert Meier's "mission" of bringing, teaching, and spreading the Spiritual Teaching, also known as the "Teaching of the Truth, Teaching of the Spirit, Teaching of the Life". Presuming that hardly any of BEAM's antagonists has studied even one of his many books³⁸ of a spiritual-teaching-based content, they miss the opportunity to acquire information and knowledge that could entirely change, and improve, their awareness of reality. Unfortunately, 99.99999 percent of the humankind are also unknowing thereof, and ignoring the most valuable information and teaching ever having been available on this planet. This information – if implemented into everyone's thinking and doing – would bring and enable the much-needed peace and the urgently necessary measures in order to start reversing the on-going destructive course of the terrestrial humankind.

This again leads to a couple of questions:

On what does the author base such a "presumptuous" estimation of BEAM's oeuvre ("historical uniqueness, etc.")?

Answer: Anyone who is willing to read BEAM's books with an open and unbiased mind cannot avoid coming to the same conclusion as the author. While, unfortunately, so far only a few of BEAM's books have been translated into English or other languages, there's already enough "food for thought" available, to make a human life a rewarding learning experience. What is unique regarding BEAM's books is that their content can be assessed and compared in its entirety with one's own thought processes and interactions with other people and nature in general. Everything is intrinsically logical, and there is no contradiction between the various book texts and reality. Anyone wishing to prove this rewarding and deeply satisfying experience is free to make a test for him- or herself, e.g. by reading the *Goblet of the Truth*, which, by the way, is BEAM's only book to be published in both physical (paper) and digital format³⁹. And for those who may find it outrageous that, since BEAM's books are so important and impressive, all his books are not available for download, you will find a link⁴⁰ with the necessary explanations in the footnotes.

How is it possible that BEAM, with "only" nine years of schooling, is able to write such an impressive work that, in its entirety and based on the author of this text's opinion, towers far above all works produced by all the philosophers and "sages" in human history combined?

Answer: On the one hand, since seven years of age, BEAM was intensively taught and educated by an

<u>Answer:</u> On the one hand, since seven years of age, BEAM was intensively taught and educated by an extraterrestrial named Sfath, and later by Asket, and additionally having had the occasion to visit, and cope with, situations and events, both of the past and the future. On the other hand, he has consciousness-based access to knowledge and information gathered and "stored" by former personalities (human beings), who were enlivened by the same "spirit form" that enlivens BEAM today. (This

 $^{^{}m 37}$ See detailed population numbers in Part 2 in the Appendix

As of January 2020, Meier has written and published (in German) **67** books with a total of 24,100 pages, **430** Spiritual-Teaching Lessons with a total of 6236 pages (= a total of **30,336 pages**), and hundreds of articles in FIGU's periodicals or here: http://beam.figu.org/.

³⁹ https://www.figu.org/ch/files/downloads/buecher/figu-kelch_der_wahrheit_goblet-of-the-truth_v_20150307.pdf

⁴⁰ https://nebula.wsimg.com/99dc8f083d934e604c377506163320a1?AccessKeyId=C0F879B9BB56750BC6EE&disposition=0&alloworigin=1_

touches on the topics of reincarnation, spirit, consciousness, unconsciousness, subconsciousness, psyche etc., which is not dealt with in this essay, but which can be read about in BEAM's books and many booklets, as e.g. in "Wiedergeburt, Leben, Sterben, Tod und Trauer"⁴¹.

How does BEAM write his books? Does he "assemble" his books by copying ancient philosophers and quoting information taken from lexica?

Answer: While BEAM sometimes uses short quotes from Wikipedia or lexica in the *Contact Notes* or the FIGU periodicals, in order to show the difference between "official" and his own definitions, information and teaching, etc., he does so hardly ever in his spiritual-teaching-based books. Nonetheless, all his books are completely original works. And if other authors have written about the same topics before BEAM, as e.g. about the "power of thoughts", or in BEAM's case his book *Might of the Thoughts*⁴², then this is not plagiarism, because the information and explanations in BEAM's books are 100% in line with reality and, therefore, contain no speculation. And that which the "might of the thoughts" concerns, i.e. the fact that human beings shape their life by the application and controlling or non-controlling etc. of their thoughts, is something everybody can assess by studying and prove, among other things, the placebo and nocebo effects.

What BEAM writing his books concerns: For all those few people, who have or had the chance to observe the process, it is a fascinating experience. Based on his comment: "I don't have the time to spend more than the necessary time to write my books", the process occurs like this: BEAM defines the topic, sits down at the computer and starts typing, i.e. writing. As, besides eating, sleeping etc., he has a lot of other tasks and chores to fulfil, writing is a stop-pause-go process, and it is interesting to notice that – after a day, or a week, or several weeks – BEAM returns to the computer and continues writing exactly where he had stopped some time ago. During the writing process, BEAM is frequently sending copies of what he has just written to three lectors, who then return the corrected leaves⁴³ to him. It's impressive to realise that BEAM is writing his books in one shot and that no rewriting of parts is necessary: Starting, writing, finished! Consequently, the lectors' task is more or less limited to correcting spelling errors.

Epilog

After having provided enough "food for thought" to enable readers to draw their own conclusions, it's time now to close this essay. What would suit this purpose better, therefore, than quoting the man himself; he who's in the centre of this "extraordinary story", the one who stands firm and unwaveringly amidst the world's turmoil and keeps himself unaffected by all the false and defamatory accusations of ignorant antagonists and believers, knowing that the real and actual problem is not his, namely finding readers and students, but theirs (of finding access to reality).

Now to close the circle that started at the beginning of this essay, here's one last quote, taken from BEAM's book $Decalogue/Dodecalogue^{44}$:

"Knowledge is the result of absolute logical cognitions in recognition of the truth."

"Truth is the effective correlation or concordance of the knowledge with the logic, that which is Creation-power and the Absolutum of all logicalness."

⁴³ See fax copy in **Part 4** in the Appendix

-

⁴¹ https://shop.figu.org/b%C3%BCcher/wiedergeburt-leben-sterben-tod-und-trauer?language=de

⁴² http://au.figu.org/shop/?p=1390

⁴⁴ https://ca.figu.org/figu-shop---decalogue-dodecalogue.html

Appendix

Part 1

For those readers who will neutrally compare these two texts, interesting and impressive realisations will result. As these two excerpts are addressing the same prophecy that was made about two thousand years ago, one of them written by a person who was actually hearing the text being spoken by its author, and the other one based on hearsay and written down a long time after the author had left Palestine and departed to India, it is easy to differentiate between the terms reasonable and unreasonable.

Matthew 24:15 ff.

15. When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place, (whoso readeth, let him understand:)

- 16. Then let them which be in Judaea flee into the mountains:
- 17. Let he who is on the rooftop not come down to take anything out of his house:
- 18. Neither let he who is in the field return back to take his clothes.
- 19. And woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck in those days!
- 20. But pray ye that your flight be not in the winter, neither on the sabbath day:
- 21. For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.
- 22. And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened.

Talmud Jmmanuel, Chapter 25:22 ff.

- 22. When the human beings then see the horror of the destruction standing in Jerusalem, of which the true prophets have already spoken, then the end will come.
- 23. Whoever is in the land seized by the god-believers or whoever is near and far (anywhere in the world) at that time, should flee to the mountains.
- 24. Those on the roofs should not climb down to get things from inside their houses.
- 25. Those who are in the fields should not go back to get their coats.
- 26. Woe to the pregnant women and the women who give suck (nursing mothers) at that time, for they will suffer tribulation and death, and there will be many of them.
- 27. For soon thereafter there will be a great tribulation as has never been before since the beginning of the world, and will also never be again.
- 28. And if these days were not cut short (if the wars and the misery, etc. would not be brought to a halt), no human being in this land or unto the end of the world (worldwide) would survive; but the days will be cut short for the sake of the human beings and their lives.
- 29. This will also be for the sake of the human beings who will be partial (connected) to the truth and the laws.
- 30. But there will be howling and chattering of teeth when this time is brought about; by the human beings' intransigence (irrationality) and by their hatred and their obsession (greed).
- 31. And they will construct brazen (metal) devices (machines) in the new time for use in the air, on the water and on land, in order to kill each other and to destroy much and to exterminate themselves.
- 32. From these metal devices (machines) they will fling heavy balls and arrows (bombs and rockets) across the land and upon the cities.
- 33. Fire will burst from these balls and arrows (bombs and rockets), and the world will burn in evil fire; and not much will be spared.
- 34. They will place the basic elements of life (atomic explosive devices = atom bombs) and deadly air (biological and chemical substances) into the balls and arrows (bombs, missiles and rockets) to there by kindle deadly fires and destroy land and life.

- 35. If at that time mighty ones of the peoples with their armies were not to intervene, as once did the celestial sons, to bring a halt to the unrestrained hazy picture (delusion) and the deadly doings of the dictators fallen prey to depravity (heinousness), truly, I say to you: No human being would survive.
- 36. Since the human species at that time will consist of far more than ten times as many human beings as the Earth is able to bear with-out coming to harm (529,000,000), a large portion of them will be killed by infirmity (disease and plagues) and war and felony.
- 37. This is what the will of the human beings wants, because they have violated the laws and recommendations of the Creation and so will continue to violate these into the distant time to come (future).
- 38. If at that time someone says to someone: 'Behold, here is Jmmanuel who is the sign of the time!', they should not accept it as the truth, for I cannot come back as Jmmanuel, for my spirit (spirit-form) will at that time animate the last prophet who, like me, belongs to the outline (line) of the early Nokodemion.
- 39. And truly: Many a false Jmmanuel and many deceivers and false prophets will come forth and want to perform great signs and miracles, so that it will become possible to deceive not only the seekers, believers and confused ones, but also the scholars and knowing ones.
- 24. For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if *it were* possible, they shall deceive the very elect.

23. Then if any man shall say unto

you, Lo, here is Christ, or there;

believe it not.

- 25. Behold, I have told you before. 26. Wherefore if they shall say unto you, Behold, he is in the desert; go not forth: behold, *he is* in the secret chambers; believe *it* not.
- 40. Behold, I have told you this beforehand, and so it will fulfil itself.
- 41. Thus, when the deceivers and those led into confusion say: 'He is in the desert', no human being should venture there, and when they say: 'Behold, he is in a chamber', no one should accept it as the truth.

Sources: https://www.kingjamesbibleonline.org/Matthew-Chapter-24/ and https://ca.figu.org/figu-shop---talmud-jmmanuel.html

Part 2 Overpopulation – Mankind on its Reckless and Insane Race Towards Suicide!

		Increase					
Period	Final Score*	Total	per year	per day	per hour	per sec	
1-500	198'847'080	96'381'377	192'763	528	22	0.01	
501-1000	293'408'074	94'560'994	189'122	518	22	0.01	
1001-1300	374'079'611	80'671'537	268'905	737	31	0.01	
1301-1500	406'100'043	32'020'432	160'102	439	18	0.01	
1501-1600	463'618'432	57'518'389	575'184	1'576	66	0.02	
1601-1700	536'718'004	73'099'572	730'996	2'003	83	0.02	
1701-1800	892'333'410	355'615'406	3'556'154	9'743	406	0.11	
1801-1900	1'660'990'034	768'656'624	7'686'566	21'059	877	0.24	
1901-1905	1'689'987'973	28'997'939	5'799'588	15'889	662	0.18	
1906-1910	1'810'900'001	120'912'028	24'182'406	66'253	2'761	0.77	
1911-1915	1'844'760'039	33'860'038	6'772'008	18'553	773	0.21	
1916-1920	1'912'000'432	67'240'393	13'448'079	36'844	1'535	0.43	
1921-1925	2'008'401'932	96'401'500	19'280'300	52'823	2'201	0.61	
1926-1930	2'207'034'890	198'632'958	39'726'592	108'840	4'535	1.26	
1931-1935	2'350'481'002	143'446'112	28'689'222	78'601	3'275	0.91	
1936-1940	2'400'389'101	49'908'099	9'981'620	27'347	1'139	0.32	
1941-1945	2'550'108'498	149'719'397	29'943'879	82'038	3'418	0.95	
1946-1950	2'600'047'000	49'938'502	9'987'700	27'364	1'140	0.32	
1951-1955	2'784'382'444	184'335'444	36'867'089	101'006	4'209	1.17	
1956-1960	3'050'382'081	265'999'637	53'199'927	145'753	6'073	1.69	
1961-1963	3'250'798'000	200'415'919	66'805'306	183'028	7'626	2.12	
1964-1966	3'500'100'000	249'302'000	83'100'667	227'673	9'486	2.64	
1967-1969	3'700'641'801	200'541'801	66'847'267	183'143	7'631	2.12	
1970-1972	3'783'847'320	83'205'519	27'735'173	75'987	3'166	0.88	
1973-1975	3'889'992'910	106'145'590	35'381'863	96'937	4'039	1.12	
1976-1978	4'090'799'983	200'807'073	66'935'691	183'385	7'641	2.12	
1979-1981	4'604'031'892	513'231'909	171'077'303	468'705	19'529	5.42	
1982-1984	4'800'411'000	196'379'108	65'459'703	179'342	7'473	2.08	
1985-1987	5'149'979'380	349'568'380	116'522'793	319'241	13'302	3.69	
1988-1990	5'367'887'093	217'907'713	72'635'904	199'002	8'292	2.30	
1991-1993	5'876'884'097	508'997'004	169'665'668	464'837	19'368	5.38	
1994-1996	6'204'008'014	327'123'917	109'041'306	298'743	12'448	3.46	
1997-1999	6'634'101'302	430'093'288	143'364'429	392'779	16'366	4.55	
2000-2002	6'905'000'109	270'898'807	90'299'602	247'396	10'308	2.86	
2003-2004**	7'101'500'011	196'499'902	154'724'332	423'902	17'663	4.91	
2004-2007**	7'684'227'416	582'727'405	166'493'544	456'147	19'006	5.28	
2008-2009	7'831'814'138	147'586'722	73'793'361	202'174	8'424	2.34	
2009-2010	8'102'716'701	270'902'563	135'451'282	371'099	15'462	4.30	
2010-2011	8'199'430'908	96'714'207	96'714'207	264'970	11'040	3.07	
2011-2012	8'301'283'002	101'852'094	101'852'094	279'047	11'627	3.23	
2012-2013	8'424'738'019	123'455'017	123'455'017	338'233	14'093	3.91	
2013-2014	8'532'048'007	107'309'988	107'309'988	294'000	12'250	3.4	
2014-2015	8'634'006'014	101′958′007	101'958'007	279'337	11'639	3.23	
2015-2016	8'739'001'024	104'995'010	104'995'010	287'657	11'985	3.32	
2016-2017	8'844'128'002	105'126'978	105'126'978	288'019	12'000	3,33	
2010-2017	8'953'851'416		109'623'414	300'338	12'514	3,47	
	<u> </u>	109'623'414	109 623 414			ļ	
2018-2019	9'060'794'141	106'942'725	100 942 /25	292'993	12'208	3,39	

^{* =} Maximum at the end of the period (numbers at end of year 1: 102'465'703 human beings)

Reading points: 8 Apr 2004, 8:04pm**; 11 Dec 2007, 12:00pm; afterwards = 31 December = 12:00pm.

www.figu.org


Version of January 5, 2020

No additional comment needed! Quite a contrast to the wrong numbers of the World Population Clock⁴⁵.

⁴⁵ https://www.census.gov/popclock/

Part 3

This copy of a fax from BEAM to the author is an example of a first-generation exchange after BEAM has finished writing a new part of a book etc. After inserting his handwritten corrections, the author returns, also by fax or during his next visit to the SSSC, the corrected leaves to BEAM.



Der Mensch muss die Verantwortung für seine Haltung sowie für seine Verhaltensweisen und für sein Handeln und Tun selbst tragen

Der Mensch muss sich seinem Verstand, seiner Vernunft und seinen Gedanken und Gefühlen öffnen und verstehen, dass er unglücklich und unzufrieden geworden ist, weil er in seinem Leben in unbewusster Weise selbst alles wie ein Magnet angezogen und anderseits auch erschaffen hat, und zwar in bezug auf seine persönliche Beziehung zu sich selbst, wie auch hinsichtlich seiner Lebensgestaltung und Lebensführung. Er wusste nicht und hat auch nie verstanden, dass er das seit seiner Kindheit infolge seiner ihm angediehenen falschen Erziehung durch seine ihn Erziehenden sowie durch das Fehlen seiner eigenen pubertären Selbsterziehung selbst getan hat. Folglich hat er sich darüber auch nie Gedanken gemacht und auch nie daran gedacht und nie festgestellt, das er sich mit seiner falschen Lebenshaltung, seinen falschen Verhaltensweisen und seinem falschen Handeln und Tun gegen sich selbst sowohl sich selbst als auch den Mitmenschen geschadet hat. Natürlich hat der Mensch dadurch den grössten Schaden erlitten in Form seines Unglücklichseins und seiner Unzufriedenheit sowie bezüglich seiner inneren Unfreiheit, Freundlosigkeit, Disharmonie und seines Unfriedens in sich selbst, wobei er durch seine falsche Haltung und seine ebenso falschen Verhaltensweisen und miesen Stimmungen auch seine Mitmenschen in Mitleidenschaft gezogen hat. Er hat sich durch seine selbstverurteilende Gedanken-Gefühlswelt seit seiner Kindheit geprägt und dadurch sich zum eigenen Opfer gemacht, wofür er die Schuld stets seinen Mitmenschen und dem Leben angelastet hat. Und um seine diesbezügliche Verurteilung noch zu verstärken, hat er sich noch Gedanken und Gefühle von Leiden eingebildet, wofür er die

Mitmenschen und sein Schicksal verantwortlich gemacht hat. Nun, alles was sich in genannter Weise im Leben des Menschen ereignet und zugetragen und ihn unglücklich und unzufrieden gemacht hat, waren seine eigenen Gedanken und Gefühle über sich selbst und über sein Leben, wobei er auch seine Mitmenschen in verschiedenen Formen darin einbezogen hat. Das Ganze beruhte auf seiner falschen eigenen Haltung und auf seinen ebenso falschen Verhaltensweisen, Worten, Handlungen und Taten, mit denen er all das in seinem bisherigen Leben in sich selbst und in seine Umwelt gesät hat, dessen Früchte er, nämlich sein Unglücklichsein und seine Unzufriedenheit, innere Unfreiheit, Disharmonie und Friedlosigkeit über Jahre hinweg, geerntet hat. Alles, was der Mensch in Form seiner Energien ausgesendet hat, kehrte immer und immer wieder zu ihm zurück und manifestierte sich in ihm in starker und böser sowie negativer und schlechter Art und Weise, wodurch sein Leben und seine Lebensführung stark beeinträchtigt wurden, wie auch sein Körper, der Gesundheitsschäden mancherlei Art erlitt, angefangen von nervösen Störungen bis hin zu effectiven Krankheiten und einem demolierten Psychezustand. Dies muss er sich nun eingestehen und sich dazu entschliessen, unter all dieses ganze Übel einen Schlussstrich zu ziehen, um ab jetzt bewusst aus seinem eigens erschaffenen Opferbewusstsein hinauszugelangen. Dazu kann er sich als erstes folgenden Satz einprägen und ihn bewusst und konzentriert täglich bei jeder möglichen Gelegenheit in Gedanken repetieren oder halblaut vor sich hinsprechen. Dieser wird ihn einerseits - zumindest während der ersten Zeit - von seinen trüben Gedanken und Gefühlen ablenken, und anderseits beeinflussen diese immer mehr gut und positiv seine ganze Gedanken-Gefühlswelt, was wiederum notwendig ist, um allmählich in sich die Bewusstwerdung seiner eigentlichen moralischen Aktivität aufzubauen. Also ist dieser eine Satz ein wichtiger Schritt, mit dem er ihm erstmals seit langer Zeit wieder selbst begegnet, indem er sich eigens anspricht und sich bewusst bemüht, sich wieder auf den Weg des Glücklichseins und der Zufriedenheit zu führen. Und was er sich nun einprägen und bewusst nutzen soll, ist der erste Schritt, den er tun muss, indem er sich folgenden Satz einprägt und ihn nutzt:

Ab jetzt trage ich bewusst meine volle Verantwortung für alles und jedes, was ich in meinem Leben täglich mit meinen Gedanken und Gefühlen für meine Beziehung zu mir selbst und für die Qualität für mein Leben und Wohlergehen tue, denn ich lebe jetzt mein ganzes Leben selbst bewusst und liebevoll.

Das sind fortan des Menschen Gedanken und die daraus entstehenden Gefühle in bezug auf sich selbst, die er pflegen muss, wobei sowohl die in dieser Weise bewusst gepflegten Gedanken wie auch die daraus hervorgehenden Gefühle, zusammen mit der Zeit, die er fortan für sich selbst nimmt, in sich langsam aber sicher einen Zustand erschaffen, der ihn für sich selbst umgänglicher macht. Auch seine Worte über das Leben und in bezug auf die Mitmenschen erfahren nach und nach eine Wandlung, wie er auch langsam seine Verhaltensweisen zu verändern beginnt, was sich auch auf seine moralische Haltung auswirkt. Auch die Handlungen und Taten des Menschen erfahren kontinuierlich bessere Formen, folglich er langsam aber sicher von all dem wegkommt, was ihn unglücklich und unzufrieden, unfrei, friedlos und disharmonisch belastet. Also beginnt der Prozess der erstlich nur langsamen Auflösung der ihn belastenden moralischen Haltung und der falschen Verhaltensweisen schon mit der bewussten Durchführung des ersten Schrittes resp. mit dem Lernen, Einprägen und oftmaligen in Gedanken oder halblauten vor sich Hinsprechen des vorgenannten Satzes. Dadurch löst sich all das auf, was er über viele Jahre hinweg an bösen, negativen und schlechten Erfahrungen und Erlebtem in sich angesammelt und ihn damit unglücklich und unzufrieden gemacht hat. Also änder und verbessert (n) sich so langsam aber sicher sein inneres und äusseres Wirken, wie auch seine moralische Haltung und seine Verhaltensweisen. Und diese Faktoren bewirken, dass sich auch in seiner Urnwelt, bei den Mitmenschen, gute und positive Änderungen und Veränderungen in bezug auf ihr Verhalten gegenüber ihm ergeben, wodurch zwischen ihm und ihnen bessere und langsam wieder feste und gute zwischenmenschliche Beziehungen entstehen und dadurch auch ein gemeinsames Klima der Freude und des Friedens sowie der Harmonie und Verbundenheit erschaffen wird. SSSC, 22. Januar 2016, 11.38 h, Billy

Part 4

As kind of a reward to those who had the stamina to read this document in its entirety, the following is a survey of all photo materials taken between May 1964 and June 1993, much of which is available in Billy Meier's *Photo-Inventarium*.

Photo numbers 1 to 100:



Photo numbers 101 to 200:



Photo numbers 201 to 300:




Photo numbers 301 to 400:



Photo numbers 401 to 500:



Photo numbers 501 to 600:



Photo numbers 601 to 700:



Photo numbers 701 to 800:




Photo numbers 801 to 900:



Photo numbers 901 to 1000:



Photo numbers 1001 to 1092:



Part 5
Billy Meier's personal contacts with the following persons from the Plejaren Federation

Name	Origin	Contact period	Name	Origin	Contact period
1) Sfath	Erra (PlejSystem) (deceased in 1953)	1942–1953	36) Melchora	Erra (PlejSystem)	3.8.2002 (deceased)
2) Asket	Timar (DAL-Universe)	1953–1964; 3.2.2004	37) Urlana	Erra (PlejSystem)	3.8.2002 / 27.4.2005
3) Semjase	Erra (PlejSystem)	28.1.1975–3.2.2004	38) Jaspan	Erra (PlejSystem)	3.8.2002
4) Quetzal	Erra (PlejSystem)	27.6.1975-today	39) Najdesha	Erra (PlejSystem)	27.4.2005
5) Ptaah	Erra (PlejSystem)	17.7.1975-today	40) Cladena-Aika- rina	Erra (PlejSystem)	2.7.2005
6) Nera	Timar (DAL-Universe)	17.7.1975	41) Susanka	Erra (PlejSystem)	7.1.2006
7) Andron	Erra (PlejSystem)	18.7.1975	42) Sugam	Bardan (Coma-Ga- laxies)	30.7.2006
8) Danel	Erra (PlejSystem)	18.7.1975/14.9.2008	43) Xeruela	Erra (PlejSystem)	4.8.2007
9) Pleija	Erra (PlejSystem)	28.6.1976-12.4.2002	44) Xeruel	Erra (PlejSystem)	4.8.2007
10) M enara	Deron (Wega-System)	29.7.1976–12.4.2002; 2.7.2005	45) Xeruala	Erra (PlejSystem)	4.8.2007
11) Rala	Erra (PlejSystem)	28.9.1976 / 3.8.2002	46) Safarina	Erra (PlejSystem)	1.9.2008
12) Alena	Sater (Lyra-System)	6.7.1977; 12.4.2002; 2.7.2005	47) Xawaadon	Erra (PlejSystem)	118.10.2012

13) Asina	Deneb (Cygnus-Sys- tem)	26.11.1977 / 26.9.2000	48) Joganda	Erra (PlejSystem)	13.3.2016-today
14) Isados	Erra (PlejSystem)	21.12.1977 / 3.8.2002	49) Yanarara	Sonara (DAL-Uni- verse)	17.5.2017–today
15) Elektra	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.1.1982 / 3.8.2002	50) Laridscha	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017
16) Sana	Druan (System Nol)	1983 und 3.8.2002	51) Quorga	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017-today
17) Ektol	Druan (System Nol)	1983 und 3.8.2002	52) Bermundas	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017-today
18) Lumia	Druan (System Nol)	1983 / 12.4.2002 / 2.7.2005	53) Berzalja	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017-today
19) Ters	Druan (System NoI)	1983 / 3.8.2002	54) Esenta	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017–today
20) Sola r	Deron (Wega-Syst.)	5.3.1983 / 12.4.2002 / 2.7.2005	55) Etika	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017today
21) Taljda	Njsan (Lyra-System)	5.3.1983–12.4.2002; 2.7.2005	56) Kolkos	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017–today
22) Zeltan	Druan (System Nol)	11.5.1985	57) Laaban	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017-today
23) Florena	Erra (PlejSystem)	1.11.1995-today	58) Sarold	Erra (PlejSystem)	10.7.2017-today
24) Tauron	Erra (PlejSystem)	29.5.1999–today	59) Herjald	Erra (PlejSystem)	31.10.2017-today
25) Zafenatpaneach	Erra (PlejSystem)	29.5.1999–today	60) Quinto	Erra (PlejSystem)	4.4.2018–today
26) Samjang	Njsan (Lyra-System)	17.9.1999-today	61) Takaraana	Erra (PlejSystem)	11.8.2019-today
27) Enjana	Neset (DAL-Universe)	25.3.2000-today			
28) Sudor	Askal (PlejSystem)	5.6.2001–today			
29) Fetanika	Erra (PlejSystem)	25.6.2001-today			
30) Taneta	Erra (PlejSystem)	25.6.2001-today			
31) Tanissa	Neset (DAL-Universe)	25.6.2001-today			
32) Nefratisa	Luseta (PlejSystem)	25.6.2001-today			
33) Gaudon	Erra (PlejSystem)	2.7.2001–today			
34) Queda	Erra (PlejSystem)	2.7.2001-today			
35) Inobea	Erra (PlejSystem)	26.8.2001-today			

On February 3, 2017, on Billy's 80th birthday, a meeting and small celebration was held on Quetzal's estate (on Erra), during/at which all those contact persons were present who, until then, had made an appearance.

Part 6

Kind of a bonus and "ultimately a final conclusion and definitive end and close" - for now. ©


Anyone who has the chance to be around Billy Meier for an amount of time, as e.g. FIGU Passive members, who are visiting and working at the SSSC for a few days, will notice his sense of humour.

Here below is an example of someone who obviously wasn't in the mood, or capable of sensing a demonstration of BEAM's humour.

In his already mentioned text of 1981, The Meier Incident – The Most Infamous Hoax in Ufology, Korff published the following information delivered by Coleman Von Keviczky:

Meier also claims to have visited other planets, to have travelled in time to both the past and the future, and to have taken close range photographs of the Apollo/Soyuz space capsule link-up in 1975, prehistoric pterodactyls, San Francisco sinking into the Bay after a major earthquake, and even one of God's eyes. (Curiously, when asked why he didn't photograph both of God's eyes, Meier replied that it wasn't possible since the other eye was winking at his Pleiadean companion - Semjase!)

(red underlining by the author)



ICUFON INC.

to have photographed it at the "center" of the "DAL" universe; an interesting point to try to establish since space is infinite. The photo has been positively identified by UFOlogist Tom Gates, an astronomer, and the author as being nothing more than a distorted picture of the Ring Nebula in the constellation of Lyra.

God's Eye according to Meier! He claims

26

Obviously BEAM's both sarcastic and joking answer to a not very intelligent and belief-based question was taken seriously and literally as true. ©

In truth, the Ring Nebula M57 has an unusual and unique origin because it was caused by an insane and megalomaniacal scientist (a self-appointed god) a long time ago. That's why the Plejaren call this ring nebula JHWHMATA, or Eye of God (JHWH = King of Wisdom/god; MATA = eye).

Anyone wishing to know more about that issue will find information here:

http://www.futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 030 http://www.futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 031 http://www.futureofmankind.co.uk/Billy Meier/Contact Report 210

Proofreading: Bruce Lulla, USA